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CCG:

With a response rate that makes it impossible to generalise across the CCG student population, the number of respondents to this semester’s evaluation was 73, divided across 10th sem. (26) and 8th sem. (47). With 199 distributed evaluation forms, this makes for a response rate of 37%.

A minority of 32% spend less than 30 hours on their studies each week. This figure is significantly improved since the Spring 2014 semester evaluations when a majority of 69% found themselves in this category. A full 69% now declare to be spending 30-40 hours or more than 40 hours per week. Considering that students are supposed to spend 37.5 hours per week on studying, these figures are – for the first time – to be considered satisfactory, albeit there is still room for improvement: 13%, e.g. report spending only 10-20 hours per week on their studies.

Respondents generally feel well-informed about goals and purposes of the programme modules, as well as practical information about the programme, and find that the academic outcome of attending the programme has been ‘big’ or ‘very big’ (56% combined) or ‘average’ (33%). 11% (5) find the academic outcome to have been ‘small’.

The responding students are generally happy with the project writing process and project work. 52% chose to write their project as part of a group. Since 26 respondents are thesis writers who almost always choose to write as individuals, this figure must be considered high. Only 26%, however, chose to complete the project in cooperation with an external collaborator.

68 % declare that physical conditions are satisfactory or very satisfactory, while only 2 students are unsatisfied with the physical environment.